

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

220

CRM-M-17521-2022 (O &M)

Date of decision: 11.07.2022.

SAHIB SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present : Mr. Balbir Singh Jaswal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ. J. (ORAL)

CRM 15539-2022

The present application has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for exemption to the petitioner to file his Aadhar Card or any other ID proof.

Application is allowed as prayed for.

CRM-M-17521-2022

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.83 dated 06.03.2022 registered under Sections 379-B read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Division A, District Amritsar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR in

question has been registered on the statement of one Sarabjit Kaur wife Sukhdev Singh who is alleged that two unknown persons were roaming around her when she had gone to the Bus Stand for her domestic work, one of them snatch her small purse which contained Rs. 180. The co-accused of the petitioner was thereafter apprehended and in his disclosure statement, the name of the petitioner was recorded. The recovery of Rs. 180/- has already been effected from the co-accused and the petitioner has been in custody since 06.03.2022. He further contends that there is no other case registered against the petitioner. Further, the investigation in the case is complete and there is no likelihood of the petitioner absconded.

3. Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab appearing on behalf of respondent-State did not controvert any of the aforesaid averments contained. It is submitted that even though the investigation is complete and the charges have been framed and no witness has been examined so far.

4. I have heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties and have gone through the record with their assistance.

5. Taking into consideration, the period of custody, the stage of trial and clean antecedents of the petitioner, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

6. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

7. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any

threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

8. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

The petition is allowed.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

JULY 11, 2022
vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No