

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(124)

CWP No. 9024 of 2022

Date of Decision : 09.05.2022

Prem Lata

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that though the petitioner retired on 31.07.2004 but gratuity as per her entitlement has not been released so far as according to the petitioner, a sum of ₹25,550/- is still due to be paid to the petitioner as gratuity. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has already served upon the respondents a legal notice dated 28.06.2019 (Annexure P-2) in this regard, which legal notice is still pending with respondent No. 4 and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to respondent No. 4 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Anju Sharma Kaushik, learned

D.A.G., Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and raises no objection in deciding the legal notice dated 28.06.2019 (Annexure P-2) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the legal notice, respondent No. 4 is directed to decide the legal notice dated 28.06.2019 (Annexure P-2), by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

May 09, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	✓ Yes/No
Whether reportable?	✓ Yes/No