

251

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.17636 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.05.2022

Bhalinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, AAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (in short 'Cr.P.C.') in FIR No.113 dated 23.11.2021, registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 307, 506 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Badali Ala Singh, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

Counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the order dated 20.04.2022 passed in CRM-M No.516 of 2022 vide which the co-accused of the petitioner namely Jagdeep Singh @ Jaggi was granted the concession of regular bail. The operative part of the said order, reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the FIR was registered at the instance of one Gurpreet Singh with the allegations that he is working in a laboratory and about ten days ago, Monu and Babbal had come to his laboratory and had verbal spat. While leaving, both of them had threatened. On 22.11.2021, the complainant along with Hardeep Singh, Ravinder Singh and Gurpreet

Singh were going in a car and in the meantime, Babbal's brother namely Jaggi (the present petitioner) knocked at the window of the car. On this, all of them came out of the car and the petitioner raised an objection that why have they parked their car outside his barber shop. Thereafter, an argument took place between them. Later on, the petitioner armed with Danda, Babbal armed with iron rod, Bhalinder armed with wooden Danda, Shamshad Ali @ Jaggi and Monu came out and Babbal raised lalkara for teaching a lesson and they all attacked the complainant's party. Jaggi and Monu caught hold of Hardeep Singh and Shamshad Ali @ Jaggi fired shot in the abdomen of Hardeep Singh with intention to kill him and he fell down. Bhalinder Singh gave a Danda blow on the head of Hardeep Singh and also gave him fist blows. When the raised voice, all the accused ran away from the spot.

Learned counsel further submits that the injury invoking Section 307 IPC is attributable to co-accused Shamshad Ali @ Jaggi; the petitioner is in judicial custody since 24.11.2021; challan stands presented and the petitioner is not involved in any other case.

Learned State counsel, on the basis of the custody certificate, has not disputed the factual position and submitted that challan stands presented, however, the charges are yet to be framed.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, considering the fact that the petitioner is in judicial custody since 24.11.2021; he is not involved in any other case and also in view of the fact that the conclusion of trial is likely to take a long time as even the charges are yet to be framed, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Illaqa Magistrate, concerned.”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that though as per the FIR version, the petitioner has given a stick blow on the head of the injured Hardeep Singh, however, the said injury is not reflected in the MLR and in the MLR of the victim, there is only one injury, which is a lacerated wound on the stomach, which reflects to be a fire-arm injury

and this injury is attributed to co-accused Shamshad Ali. It is also submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 27.11.2021 and he is not involved in any other case.

Counsel for the State on the basis of the Custody Certificate has not disputed the factual position but opposed the prayer for bail.

Without commenting anything on merits of the case, considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 27.11.2021 the co-accused of the petitioner is already released on bail; the petitioner is not involved in any other case; the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required and the conclusion of the trial will take some time, this petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate/Duty Magistrate.

However, it will be open for the prosecution to apply for cancellation of bail of the petitioner, in case he is found involved in any other case or misusing the concession of bail, in any manner.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

05.05.2022

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No