

111.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8901-2022

Date of Decision: 29.04.2022

NARANG SINGH AND ORS

.... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Jasbir Singh Mohri, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Senior DAG, Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to count the services of the petitioners as Special Police Officers (SPOs) rendered before their regularization as qualifying service for the purpose of pensionary/retiral benefits.

At the very outset, counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners restricts his prayer for deciding the legal notice dated 28.09.2021 (Annexure P-11) keeping in view the judgment passed in CWP No.9936 of 2017, dated 15.02.2018 (Annexure P-7) and judgment dated 01.04.2019 (Annexure P-8) passed in CWP No.7127 of 2019. He would submit that the petitioners would be satisfied in case the said legal notice is decided in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Pawan Sharda, Senior DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that the respondents will look into the matter and will take a decision on the legal notice of the petitioners.

Let sufficient number of copies of the complete paper book be supplied to him during the course of day.

Without commenting on merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the official respondents to decide legal notice dated 28.09.2021 (Annexure P-11) of the petitioners keeping in view the judgment passed in CWP No.9936 of 2017, dated 15.02.2018 (Annexure P-7) and judgment dated 01.04.2019 (Annexure P-8) passed in CWP No.7127 of 2019, within a period of six months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order, in accordance with law, and convey the decision to the petitioners. In case of failure to do so, the officer responsible for the lapse would be liable to costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

29.04.2022

sanjeev

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No