

111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 8761 of 2022

Date of Decision: 28.04.2022

Ranjit Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and ors.

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Mr.Sukhdev Kamboj, Advocate for petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to step-up the pay of the petitioner at par with his junior (respondent No.3) w.e.f. 29.10.2007 and further to grant all the arrears of pay and consequential benefits alongwith interest @ 12% per annum.

Learned counsel, on instructions from the petitioner submitted that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case the representation dated 27.10.2020 (P-14) is decided expeditiously.

Notice of motion to that limited extent.

Ms. Maloo Chahal, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2 , and raises no objection against the innocuous prayer of the petitioner.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, this writ petition is disposed off with

direction to respondent No.2- The Director Public Instructions (S.E.), Punjab, to consider and decide the aforesaid representation in accordance with law by passing a reasoned order within a period of 04 (four) months, positively, from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

Needless to say that in case there is a failure on the part of competent authority, petitioner would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for revival of this writ petition and the same shall be considered as per law.

28.04.2022
sanjay

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>