

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10974-2022

Date of decision: 23.05.2022

Jasvir Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA**

Present: Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate,
for petitioner.

Ms. Anu Pal, DAG, Punjab.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel while referring to Section 23 of the Punjab State Election Commission Act, 1994, contends that once an officer is deputed to do the election work, he shall be deemed to be working under the jurisdiction of the State Election Commission during that period.

In the present case, the State Election Commission has not been made party and the Deputy Commissioner concerned, even though he was acting under the State, but was a necessary party to the present petition.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw this petition with liberty to file fresh one with better particulars.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

23.05.2022
ajp

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No