

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 201

CRM-M-17266-2022

Date of decision : 06.09.2022

Ranjodh Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.M.R.Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Navneet Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr.Siddharth Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.12 dated 07.03.2022 registered under Sections 498-A and 323 IPC at Police Station Kahnuwan, District Gurdaspur.

On 27.04.2022 this Court had passed the following order: -

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to matrimonial discord between the petitioner and the complainant; the petitioner has no other criminal antecedents; the telephonic conversation of the complainant which was recorded by the petitioner clearly shows that she has embroiled the petitioner in this case to extract money and that even if the allegations of the alleged beatings taken as the gospel truth, though vehemently denied, the same only reflect simple injuries and that the petitioner is also ready and willing to join and cooperate with the investigation.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Gunkirat Kaur, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and prays for time to argue the matter.

Respondent No.2 be served through ordinary process.

Adjourned to 06.09.2022.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.12, dated 07.03.2022, registered under Sections 498- A and 323 IPC at Police Station Kahnwan, District Gurdaspur, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.”

Learned State counsel submits that in pursuance to the afore quoted order the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigation and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the above submissions made on behalf of the petitioner as recorded in the afore quoted order and the afore-referred statement made by learned State counsel, the order of this Court dated 27.04.2022, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

06.09.2022
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No