

118

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-17901-2022

Date of Decision: April 29, 2022

Ritika Bansal

.....Petitioner

Versus

Kanishk

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.Sukesh Kumar Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

.....

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court for quashing of order dated 04.04.2022 vide which prayer of the petitioner for issuing conditional warrant against the respondent-husband has been declined by the Court.

It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that in all the arrears are of Rs.14.00 lacs. From perusal of the impugned order and the arguments advanced, it is apparent that the respondent has made payment of Rs.1.00 lac on 03.03.2022, Rs.3.00 lacs on 10.03.2022 and Rs.35,000/- on 28.03.2022. In all, in the month of March 2022 itself, payment of Rs.4,35,000/- out of the outstanding arrears has been made by the respondent. Learned Family Court has appreciated the conduct of the respondent in discharging his liability and has observed that a substantial amount has been paid by the respondent and hence the situation has not reached for issuance of a conditional warrant.

In view of the observations made by the Court below in

appreciating the conduct of the respondent, this Court is satisfied that

respondent is discharging his responsibilities by making payments.

No interference at this stage is made out.

Disposed of accordingly.

April 29, 2022
meenuss

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |