

**216 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17261-2022

Date of decision : 23.05.2022

Rajesh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Kartar Singh Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioner has approached this Court under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure to seek anticipatory bail in case FIR No.0058 dated 27.01.2022 under Sections 324, 34 and 506 Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Sections 148, 149 and 307 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station I.M.T. Rohtak, District Rohtak, who apprehends his arrest at the hands of Police.

On 27.04.2022, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that in the alleged occurrence injured suffered three injuries and initially the FIR was lodged for the offences punishable under Sections 324, 506, 148 and 149 IPC and as none of the injuries is attributed to the petitioner, he was admitted to regular bail vide order dated 22.02.2022 (Annexure P-3). He further submits that subsequently, the offence punishable under Section 307 IPC was added, therefore, this has again given rise to apprehension of arrest to the petitioner. According to him, in the given background, his custodial interrogation may not be necessary.

Notice of motion for 23.05.2022.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to

the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that in compliance of the above order, the petitioner associated himself in the investigation and cooperated during interrogation.

Learned State counsel who is instructed by SI Rattan Singh states that indeed the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 27.04.2022 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

23.05.2022

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No