

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(277)

CRM-M-17278-2022

Date of decision:- 10.10.2022

Vikramjeet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Aayush Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, Addl. A.G., Punjab
for State-respondent No.1.

Mr. Rishav Jain, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.0054 dated 15.04.2022, registered for offences under Sections 498-A and 406 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, at Police Station City Phagwara, District Kapurthala, Annexure P-1.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that FIR, Annexure P-1, is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute and the petitioner, who is the husband of the complainant-respondent No.2, has joined the investigation pursuant to order dated 15.09.2022 passed by this Court. Still further, he submits that the matrimonial dispute has been settled before the Mediation and Conciliation

Centre of this Court and a petition seeking divorce by mutual consent has been instituted.

Upon instructions received from ASI, Sudil Kumar, State counsel has verified the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation. He submits that in view of the settlement, the petitioner is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

Counsel representing the respondent No.2 submits that second motion in petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 is to be recorded in the month of February, 2023.

In view of the above, but without commenting upon the allegations levelled in the FIR, present petition is allowed.

Order dated 15.09.2022 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to conditions laid down in Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

10.10.2022
Kamal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No