

101+237

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-8606-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.10.2022

IDP EDUCATION INDIA PRIVATE LIMITEDPetitioner

VS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Akshay Bhan, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Harparteek S. Sandhu, Advocate
for petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Verma, Addl. A.G., Punjab

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ J. (Oral)

Main case

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing to respondents No. 1 to 6 to provide police protection to the petitioner so as to enable the petitioner to run its operations peacefully and further to ensure egress and ingress of the persons, material and vehicle(s) from the premises of the petitioner.

Status report for respective States/Police has been filed as per which the protest/demonstration stands removed.

A statement has been made today by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State assisted by ASI Ajit Singh from Moga, ASI Manohar Lal, Jalandhar and ASI Rajender Singh, Bathinda that no protest is going on at present and the premises of the petitioner is fully evacuated and now there is no threat or impediment to the petitioner in

carrying on its business/trade operations. It is further stated that in the event of any such incident in future, expeditious steps shall be initiated by the concerned authorities to remove such impediments against the petitioner to carry out operations in accordance with law.

In view of the aforesaid stand, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner does not press the instant petition at this stage

Disposed of accordingly.

CM-9471-2022

In view of the separate order passed in the main writ petition, the present CM is disposed of as not pressed.

28.10.2022
pry

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No