

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

115

CRWP-3853-2022(O&M)

Date of Decision:27.04.2022

Juned & another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Khalid Tauru, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, A.A.G., Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ , J.(Oral)

CRM-W-482-2022

Application is allowed, as prayed for.

CRWP-3853-2022

The petitioners namely Juned and Pakija have approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for seeking protection of their life and personal liberty from private respondents No.4 to 10.

The contention of the petitioners is that both are major, aged 29 years and 20 years respectively. In support thereof, copies of Aadhaar Cards pertaining to the petitioners(Annexures P-1 & P-2) have been appended. The petitioners further contend that they have performed Nikahnama against the wishes of their parents. The Nikahnama/marriage of the petitioners was

solemnized on 11.04.2022 at Saroli, Mewat according to Muslim rites and ceremonies. A copy of Nikahnama/marriage certificate (Annexure P-3) issued by the aforesaid Society has also been appended. The petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and claim that there is a constant danger of being implicated in a false case.

Notice of motion restricted to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Pursuant to supply of advance copy, Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, A.A.G., Haryana, has appeared and accepted notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that a representation dated 23.04.2022 (Annexure P-4) has also been submitted by the petitioners to the respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Mewat.

Learned State counsel has no objection if appropriate direction for providing requisite protection to the petitioners is given.

Without examining the question of legality and validity of the marriage and expressing any opinion thereon, the present petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No. 2 - Superintendent of Police, Mewat to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate action for protection of their lives and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

However, it is clarified that in case any criminal case has been/is registered against the petitioners nothing in this order shall be construed as a bar for taking appropriate action by the police authorities in respect thereof in accordance with law.

The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above-said representation to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Mewat for requisite compliance.

The petition is disposed of.

April 27, 2022
seema

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No