

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 254)

**CRM-M No. 28982 of 2017
Date of decision : 10.03.2022**

Roshan Lal

.....Petitioner

Versus

Sapna Thapa and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate for the respondents.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

On 09.03.2022, this Court had passed the following order : -

“Learned counsel appearing for the respondents submits that the present petition had been preferred against the interim order but since during the pendency of the present petition a final order has been passed, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

There is no appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

Adjourned to 10.03.2022.

To be taken up after the urgent cases.”

Yet again none appears for the petitioner.

In the light of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents in the afore quoted order the present petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

10.03.2022

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No