

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

102

CRM-M-17235-2022

Date of Decision:27.04.2022

Pardeep

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Majra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, A.A.G., Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ , J.(Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.01 dated 01.01.2022 under Sections 407 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (the offence under Section 120-B IPC was added later on) registered at Police Station Cheeka, District Kaithal.

At the very outset, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition by acknowledging that there was an inadvertent error on his part in failing to mention that the earlier anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner was dismissed by this Court on 19.04.2022 by passing a speaking order. However, the instant petition has been filed by not specifically referring to the first petition and concealing the factum of dismissal of earlier anticipatory bail petition. While

exceeding to the request of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, it is necessary to impose some reasonable costs so that a litigant is not instigated to take such chances to make an attempt to hoodwink the process of law.

Accordingly, the instant petition is dismissed as withdrawn by imposing the costs of ₹5,000/- on the petitioner to be deposited with the **Punjab & Haryana High Court Bar Clerks' Welfare Fund** within a period of one week from today.

April 27, 2022
seema

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No