

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

**Sr. No.201**

**CRM-M-17595-2022**

**Date of decision: 13.09.2022**

Gurvinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL**

Present: Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Navneet Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

\* \* \*

**DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)**

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.58 dated 30.03.2022 registered under Section 498-A IPC at Police Station City, Gurdaspur, District Gurdaspur.

On 28.04.2022, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case at the behest of his father-in-law on account of a marital discord between the petitioner and his wife-respondent No.3; no complaint has been got registered by the petitioner's wife; the petitioner and the complainant's daughter lived together as husband and wife for about 12 years and during such period no complaint was ever made by her to any authority; the allegations of cruelty are not supported by any evidence and in any case on the fact of it are false; no recovery is required to be made from the petitioner and that the petitioner is also ready and willing to join and cooperate with the investigation.

Notice of motion for 13.09.2022.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No.1-State.

Respondent Nos.2 and 3 be served through ordinary process.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.58 dated 30.03.2022 registered under Sections 498-A IPC at Police Station City Gurdaspur, District Gurdaspur, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.”

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation; co-operated with the investigating agency and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner and respondents No.2 and 3 have compromised the matter.

In view of the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner in the afore-quoted order; the statement made on behalf of the State that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required and that the petitioner and respondents No.2 and 3 have compromised the matter, the interim order of this Court dated 28.04.2022, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

**September 13, 2022**

*Jyoti 1*

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)**

**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No