

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

CRWP-3866-2022
Date of Decision: 27.04.2022

Urmila Goyal and others Petitioners
Versus
State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. L.S.Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondent No. 4.

Notices served upon the official respondents No. 1 to 3 through the State's counsel.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he would be satisfied in case directions may be issued to respondent No. 2 to decide the Justice Demand Notice-cum-Representation dated 18.04.2022 (Annexure P-6) in a time bound manner.

The prayer being innocuous is not opposed by the learned State counsel.

Given above, the present petition is disposed of by issuing direction to respondent No. 2-Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar (Mohali) to decide the Justice Demand Notice-cum-Representation dated 18.04.2022 (Annexure P-6) by passing a speaking and reasoned order within ten working days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, the grievance of the petitioners still exists, they shall be at liberty to avail legal remedy in accordance with law including approaching this Court.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

27.04.2022
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No