

119

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-8885-2022

Date of Decision: 29.04.2022

Gian Prakash Khanna and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. V.P. Sangwan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the question of law, which is being raised in the present petition, is covered by the judgment passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in **CWP-21636-2021**, titled as ***“Bikramjeet Ahluwalia and others Vs. Union of India and others”***, decided on 27.10.2021, but still the benefit of the said judgment is not being extended to the petitioner and that too without any valid justification, which benefit should had been brought into operation by the respondents keeping in view the settled principle of law laid down by the Division Bench in its judgment passed in **CWP-4382-2002**, titled as ***“Sathir Singh Vs. State of Haryana”***, decided on 21.03.2002, according to which, once a principle of law is settled, the same is to be made applicable upon every similarly situated employees and the employees should not be forced to approach the Court time and again to claim the same relief.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the

petitioner has raised the same grievance before respondent No.2 by serving

legal notice dated 11.11.2021 (Annexure P-14), which is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is given to respondent No.2 to decide the said legal notice dated 11.11.2021, by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case and without going into merits of the claim as raised by the petitioner in the present case as well as in the legal notice dated 11.11.2021 (Annexure P-14), this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to pass an appropriate speaking order on the legal notice dated 11.11.2021 (Annexure P-14), filed by the petitioner, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of this order.

29.04.2022*Apurva***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/No