

Sunil Kumar

...Petitioner

vs.

Pardeep Godara

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Sumeet Sangwan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 12.11.2021, in CWP-22393-2021, in case titled as Sunil Kumar vs. State of Haryana and others.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 dated 12.11.2021, reveals that CWP-22393-2021, was disposed of directing the respondent to consider and decide representation dated 22.03.2021, made by the father of the petitioner with regard to amount of royalty of Rs.17,90,00,000/-, due to and payable to respondent No.5 i.e. Gram Panchayat Pichopa Kalan, within six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order

3. Notice has not yet been issued in the instant case.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner at the outset states that learned DAG, Haryana, has furnished copy of order dated 20.04.2022, i.e. decision taken by the respondent on representation dated

Rs.17,90,00,000/- payable to respondent No.5 and that as per the decision taken, respondent No.5 i.e. Gram Panchayat Pichopa Kalan has been found entitled to the said amount and directions have been issued by the respondent to the Director, M/s Sainik Mining and Allied Services Limited Company, Gurugram, to release payment of Rs.17,90,00,000/- to Gram Panchayat Pichopa Kalan, immediately, failing which, the mining license of M/s Sainik Mining and Allied Services Limited Company, Gurugram, would be cancelled.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of order dated 20.04.2022, passed by the Deputy Commissioner, Charkhi Dadri, he does not press the instant petition and the petitioner be permitted to withdraw the same.

6. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

05.05.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No