

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

220

CRM-M-18886-2021

Date of decision : 12.01.2022.

Mukesh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Vijay Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.95 dated 16.03.2021, under Sections 406 and 420 IPC, registered at Police Station Civil Lines Sonipat, District Sonipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the plot was jointly purchased by the husband of the petitioner namely Sanjay Kumar and the husband of the complainant namely Rohtash on 04.03.2013. Later Rohtash had entered into an agreement to sell his share. Rohtash is stated to have expired in the year 2018 and thereafter the FIR had been lodged by the complainant. The petitioner is 38 year old lady and is not involved in any other case. He also contends that the matter has been settled through the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court. He has referred to the report of the mediator dated 14.12.2021 which is taken on record as Annexure 'A'. He also contends that the petitioner has already made the payment of Rs.1 lac to the complainant and would be paying the outstanding amount of Rs.3 lacs in terms of the settlement by 31.05.2022.

Heard.

In view of the above especially when the matter has been settled between the parties, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, the petitioner is directed to appear before the investigating officer within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and on his doing so, he shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of the arresting/investigating officer till submission of report under Section 173 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 ('Cr.P.C.' - for short). The petitioner shall continue to join investigation and shall furnish an undertaking that he shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. Thereafter, he will be permitted to furnish regular bail bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

In the event of the petitioner not performing his part in terms of the settlement, the complainant would be at liberty to prefer an application in this regard.

The petition stands disposed of in the aforementioned terms.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

January 12, 2022
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No