

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 201

CRM-M-17509-2022

Date of decision : 12.09.2022

Parveet Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.G.P.S.Pathania, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Navneet Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.0029 dated 03.04.2022 registered under Sections 498-A, 406 and 506 IPC at Police Station Taragarh, District Pathankot.

On 28.04.2022 this Court had passed the following order: -

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case on account of a matrimonial discord between the petitioner and the complainant; there is no other criminal case pending against the petitioner; the allegations of dowry and beatings by the petitioner are absolutely vague and unsubstantiated; the petitioner and the complainant had been living separately since 2018 and this fact is virtually admitted by the complainant as in April 2019 she had filed a petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. seeking therein maintenance from the petitioner; in August 2019, the complainant had also filed a similar complaint with the police, which after inquiry, was found to be false and that the petitioner is also ready and willing to join and cooperate with the investigation.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Kirat Singh Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

For arguments, adjourned to 12.09.2022.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner’s joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.29, dated 03.04.2022, registered under Sections 498A, 406, 506 IPC at Police Station Taragarh, District Pathankot, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. ”

Learned State counsel submits that in pursuance to the afore quoted order the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigation and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the above submissions made on behalf of the petitioner as recorded in the afore quoted order and the afore-referred statement made by learned State counsel, the order of this Court dated 28.04.2022, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

12.09.2022
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No