

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

230

CWP-8759-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision : 19.07.2022

Sukhdeep Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Ms. Arti, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Somesh Gupta, Senior Panel Counsel
for respondent No.1/Union of India.

Mr. Bikramjit Singh Bath, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

Mr. Naresh Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Krishan Singh Dadwal, Advocate
for the applicants/ respondents No.4 to 16.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioners are seeking a direction to the respondents to declare them eligible for the post of Agricultural Sub Inspector which was sought to be filled by advertisement No.2/2019.

It was a case of the petitioners that they are graduates and one of the essential qualifications is BA with economics and therefore, they should be held eligible for the post of Agricultural Sub Inspector.

In pursuance to the order passed by this Court on 01.04.2019, the petitioners were directed to apply for the post and their candidature was to be considered without prejudice to the rights of the parties.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 submits that the petitioners had participated in the selection process and they could not qualify

the examination. The marks obtained by the petitioners have been set out in the written statement filed by respondents No.2 and 3 and the same are reproduced hereunder:-

Sr. No.	Name of Candidates	Marks
1.	Sukhdeep Singh s/o Dharampal Singh	12.25/50(SC)
2.	Sukhdeep Singh Batth s/o Sukhbans Singh	8.88/50(SC)
3.	Sukhjiwan Singh s/o Harpal Singh	10.63/50(SC)
4.	Jagatdeep Singh s/o Sukhwant Singh	13.50/50(SC)
5.	Bahal Singh s/o Labh Singh	Did not appear (SC)

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3, upon instructions from Mr. Tarwinder Singh, Senior Assistant, Department of Economics and Sociology, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana submits that the last selected candidate in general candidate had obtained 20.13 marks and the last selected candidate in scheduled caste category had obtained 20.63 marks.

In view of the above especially when the petitioners had participated in the selection process and have not been found meritorious, I do not find any merit in this petition which stands dismissed.

Pending application, if any, shall also stand disposed of accordingly.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

July 19, 2022

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No