

205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18634-2021

Date of decision:30.03.2022

SALUKA AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Piyush Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

The learned State counsel has placed, on record, the death certificate of co-petitioner No.1 one Saluka. Consequently, in so far the petition at hand appertaining to co-petitioner No.1 Saluka is concerned, it for the afore reasons becomes abated, and, in consequence thereof, the name of co-petitioner No.1 be deleted from the array of petitioners.

2. In FIR No.134 of 26.08.2020, registered at Police Station Mallanwala, District Ferozepur, offences constituted under Sections 379-B, 323, 34 of IPC, 1860, are embodied.

3. The incriminatory role, as assigned to the bail petitioner one, Pawan is of his joining the principal offender one Vikram at the crime site, and, his facilitating the above in commission of offences (supra).

4. However, the learned State counsel, on instructions given to him by ASI Joginder Singh submits, that since all the relevant recoveries have been effected to the investigating officer concerned, and, at the instance of the principal offender (supra), and, also since he further states, that the relevant

investigations are complete, and, that very soon a report under Section 173 Cr.P.C., shall become filed before the learned Magistrate concerned, thereupon, this Court does not deem it fit, and, appropriate to order for the custodial interrogation of the bail petitioner.

5. Consequently, the bail petition appertaining to one, Pawan is allowed, and, he is admitted to anticipatory bail, however, subject to his furnishing personal, and, surety bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each, to the satisfaction of the arresting officer, and, also subject to his rendering co-operation to him, in the investigations to be carried into the offences mentioned in the present FIR. In addition, he shall not tamper with prosecution evidence nor shall influence the prosecution witnesses.

6. Disposed of.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

30.03.2022

ithlesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No