

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(117)

CWP-8958-2022

Date of Decision : August 01, 2022

Anoop Singh Mor

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sajjan Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

In pursuance to the order dated 29.04.2022, an affidavit of Mr. Rajiv Rattan, Director, Higher Education, Panchkula, Haryana on behalf of the respondents has been filed in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the appeal which has been filed by the petitioner against the order of punishment, has been rejected in a cryptic manner, which is impermissible.

Learned State counsel submits that keeping in view the rules governing the service, no appeal is provided against the order passed by the Government and only the memorial/memorandum lies before the Hon'ble Governor and therefore, the petitioner is free to avail the said remedy in case, the petitioner intends to do so.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to avail the remedy of memorial/memorandum before the Hon'ble Governor against the impugned order dated 04.08.2020 (Annexure P-6).

Ordered accordingly.

August 01, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No