

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

1. CRM-M-28569-2017 (O&M)

Baldev Krishan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

2. CRM-M-28581-2017 (O&M)

Baldev Krishan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

Date of decision: 22.03.2022

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. L. M. Gulati, Advocate
for the petitioner/complainant.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Yogesh Goel, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 2 and 3/accused in both cases.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

This common order shall dispose of above noted two petitions
as they arise out of the same FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner/complainant submits that at
the time when the anticipatory bail was granted to respondent Nos. 2 and 3,
in both cases, vide orders dated 24.07.2017, an incorrect statement was
made that a cancellation report is prepared, though it was never submitted

and even now the charges have been framed.

Learned counsel for the respondents/accused submits that there is no allegation that in the intervening period, the respondents/accused have either misused the concession of anticipatory bail or they have extended any threat to the prosecution witnesses, therefore, within the four corners of the well settled law, vide which the bail can be cancelled, is not available to the petitioner/complainant.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, considering the fact that the respondents-accused were granted the concession of anticipatory bail on 24.07.2017 and they are regularly appearing before the trial Court and facing trial and even charges have now been framed, no ground is made out to cancel the bail.

Accordingly, the present petitions are dismissed.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

22.03.2022

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No