

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

278

**CRWP-4170-2021 (O&M)  
Date of Decision:26.08.2022**

**Puneet Singh Saini**

**.....Petitioner**

**Vs.**

**State of Punjab and others**

**.....Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA**

Present: Mr. Nikhil Anand, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Virat Rana, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Jitender Singh Dadwal, Advocate  
for respondents No.4 to 6.

\*\*\*\*

**ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)**

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that both the parents are now residing at Bombay and even the child is also residing there with the mother (respondent No.4). He further submits that the mediation proceedings are pending at Bombay.

Given above, the present petition is parallel in nature and serves no purpose and more so the parties are not residing under the jurisdiction of this Court.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would withdraw the present petition if an observation is made that the concerned mediator in the Bombay gives rights to father of the child to meet him.

Prayer being innocuous, is accepted.

Given above, it is clarified that in case, the petitioner files an application to the mediator, where the proceedings are pending,

then such application be decided sympathetically and also consider the fact that vide order 22.11.2021, this Court had permitted father to make a video call to the minor child and also to meet the child after every 15 days. The concerned mediator and the Courts are requested to consider this order in mind while passing any order. However their observations shall not be binding.

Petition is disposed of with the above said observations.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)**  
**JUDGE**

**26.08.2022**

anju rani

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable:        | Yes/No |