

239 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-28528-2017
Date of Decision: 29th July, 2022

Gurtej Singh

Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

This petition was filed seeking directions to the official respondents to get the FIR No. 03 dated 6.3.2017 under Sections 409/420/467/468/471 IPC, 1860 and Sections 13(1) (D) r/w 13(2) P.C. Act, 1988 registered at P.S. Vigilance Bureau Bathinda, District Bathinda be investigated by independent agency or senior Police officials outside the district Bathinda and Mansa.

On 25.7.2022 the following order was passed :-

“This petition was filed seeking directions to the official respondents to get the FIR No. 03 dated 6.3.2017 under Sections 409/420/467/468/471 IPC, 1860 and Sections 13(1) D R/w 13(2) P.C. Act, 1988 registered at P.S. Vigilance Bureau Bathinda, Bathinda be investigated by independent agency.

Learned State Counsel on instructions from ASI Kuldeep Singh submits that challan has been presented and charges have been framed on 19.5.2022.

None has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 29th July, 2022”.

None has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner in spite of two pass overs. Similar was the position on the last date.

In view of the statement made by learned State Counsel on 25.7.2022, no further directions are called for.

Petition is disposed of.

Needless to say that petitioner would be at liberty to move an application for recalling of the order in case of any factual discrepancy in statement made by learned State Counsel.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

29th July, 2022
anuradha

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |