

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
117**

LPA-321-2022

Date of Decision: April 26, 2022

JASWINDER SINGH

...APPELLANT

Versus

**PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL & LABOUR COURT,
U.T. CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER**

...RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

**Present: Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate,
for the appellant.**

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the appellant states that the learned Single Judge has proceeded on the assumption as if the services of the appellant were dispensed with on completion of the contract but the appellant had produced evidence before the Labour Court to the effect that he continued after the expiry of the said period i.e. 12.07.2016 and performed his duties till 16.07.2016.

When confronted by this Court that this aspect has not been pressed into services before the learned Single Judge, his submission is that the said aspect was indeed pressed but has not been dealt with by the learned Single Judge.

If that be so, counsel for the appellant prays for withdrawal of the present appeal with liberty to first approach the learned Single Judge by filing a review application.

In view of the above, the prayer made by the counsel for the

appellant is accepted.

The appeal is disposed of with liberty to the appellant to file a review application before the learned Single Judge, as prayed for.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

April 26th, 2022
pj

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No