

116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3818-2022

Date of decision : 26.04.2022

Neha Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Rajinder, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sarabjit S. Cheema, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

The present Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing respondent Nos.1 and 2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

It is the case of the petitioners that they both are major inasmuch as petitioner No.1 was born on 21.09.2001 as is apparent from Aadhaar Card (Annexure P-1) and petitioner No.2 was born on 15.03.1996 as is apparent from vernacular copy of Aadhaar Card (Annexure P-2) which is at page 26 of the paper book (although in the typed copy of Aadhaar Card which is at page 20 of the paper book, the date of birth has wrongly been typed as 15.06.1996), and have married each other on 18.04.2022, with their free consent and without any pressure and that this is their first marriage.

Reliance has been placed upon the Marriage Certificate (Annexure P-3). It is also stated by the learned counsel for the petitioners that a detailed representation dated 19.04.2022 (Annexure P-4) has also been given to respondent Nos.1 and 2 with regard to the same.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 and 2 only.

On advance notice, Mr. Sarabjit S. Cheema, AAG, Punjab, appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 2 and on a specific query put by this Court, he has stated that he has no objection in case, respondent No.1 looks into the representation dated 19.04.2022 (Annexure P-4) and takes appropriate action in accordance with law.

After considering the abovesaid facts and without commenting upon the legality of the marriage and expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the present Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.1 to look into the representation dated 19.04.2022 (Annexure P-4) and after considering the threat perception to the petitioners, respondent No.1 will take appropriate action in accordance with law.

It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioners, if involved in any other case.

26.04.2022

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No