

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(118)

CWP-8535-2022

Date of Decision : September 14, 2022

Rohtash

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Jai Shree Kaushik, Advocate, for
Mr. Ajay Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that the petitioner has not been given the second ACP on completion of 16 years of service, which the petitioner completed on 16.11.2013, hence, the respondents are under an obligation to grant the same to the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the petitioner has not raised the said grievance before the authorities concerned before filing the present petition and in case, any such grievance is raised by the petitioner before the authorities concerned, the same will be decided within

a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any representation from the petitioner raising the said claim and in case, the petitioner is found entitled for the same, the consequential benefits will also be released to the petitioner within a further period of four weeks.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondents raising the grievance, as raised in the present petition.

Ordered accordingly.

September 14, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No