

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

115

C.W.P. No. 8820 of 2022

Date of Decision:- 28.04.2022

RAJWINDER SINGH

....Petitioner

vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Amandeep Singh Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner had sought information regarding the functioning of respondent No.4 as Sarpanch under the Right to Information Act, 2005. On receipt of the information, it allegedly came to light that respondent No.4 had embezzled funds during his tenure and, thus, representations were made to respondents No. 2 and 3 but no action has been taken till date. The final representation is dated 05.02.2021 (Annexure P-3) addressed to respondent No.3. It is, thus, prayed that a direction be issued to the said respondent to decide the pending complaint by passing a speaking order.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

Ms. Devaki Anand Sullar, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and waives service.

Considering the nature of order being passed, no reply is necessary to be filed.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider the complaint dated 05.02.2021 (Annexure P-3) objectively and to decide

the same by passing a speaking order within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

April 28, 2022
poonam

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable No