

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-17198-2022(O&M)
Date of decision: 15.07.2022**

Sukhchain Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Navraj Singh Mahal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harbir Sandhu, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Rituraj Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 15 dated 25.01.2022, registered at Police Station Kartarpur, Jalandhar Rural, under Sections 323, 324, 341 and 34 IPC and Section 326 IPC (added later on vide DDR No.42 dated 25.01.2022).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the injury attributed to the petitioner is *kirpan* blow on the wrist of the left arm of the complainant, which is a non-vital part; that the petitioner and co-accused i.e. wife of the petitioner, have also received injuries in the said scuffle; that the wife of the petitioner has already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by the Sessions Court; that the petitioner has been in custody since 05.02.2022.

He further submits that the matter has been compromised between the petitioner, his wife and the complainant. This fact has not been disputed by the learned counsel for the complainant.

Learned State counsel, while vehemently opposing the prayer for bail, submits that the injury on wrist of the left arm of the complainant, attributed to the petitioner is grievous in nature. However, he does not dispute the custody period of the petitioner and that the matter has been compromised between the parties.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has been in custody since 05.02.2022. The injury attributed to the petitioner is on the non-vital part of the complainant and the matter has been compromised between the parties and this fact is duly authenticated by the learned counsel for the complainant in the Court. Hence, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

CRM-15067-2022

In view of the order passed in the main case, learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner wishes to withdraw the present application.

The request is allowed.

The application is dismissed as withdrawn.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

15.07.2022
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No