

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

ARB-307-2021

Date of decision : 25.03.2022

M/s Saini Electrical

.....Applicant

Versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE

Present: Mr. Akshay Chadha, Advocate,
for the applicant.

Ms. Iti Aggarwal, Advocate, and
Mr. Praful Shukla, Advocate,
for the respondent.

(The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing since
the proceedings are being conducted in virtual Court).

RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

This application under Section 11 (6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short, 'the Act') has been filed by the applicant seeking appointment of an Arbitrator pursuant to clause 51 of the agreement dated 05.07.2007 (Annexure P-1) entered into between the parties, as notice dated 08.03.2021 (Annexure P-4) for appointment of Arbitrator issued by the applicant did not yield the desired result.

On 17.12.2021, it was informed that in a similar matter (ARB-303-2021) between the same parties, this Court has appointed Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain, a former Judge of this Court, as Arbitrator. In these circumstances and in view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, necessary declaration under Section 12 of the Act was called for, which has been received and placed before this Court.

In these circumstances, Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain, a former Judge of this Court, is appointed as an Arbitrator to decide the dispute between the parties.

Application stands disposed of.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

March 25, 2022
ndj

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No