

CRM-M-17606-2022

Date of Decision: 28.04.2022

PAWAN KUMAR

... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Devender Arya, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Zorawar Singh Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

In pursuance of advance notice, learned State counsel has appeared on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4. He, on instructions from PSI Harish, submits that after conclusion of the investigation, the supplementary challan has been presented against Ram Ratan, father-in-law of the deceased. However, Sushila, Renu, Sarita and Manjeet @ Monu i.e. respondents No. 5 to 8 have been found to be innocent.

Faced with the situation, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to seek appropriate remedies before the trial Court, in accordance with law.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

28.04.2022

smriti

(VIVEK PURI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No