

207
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-17013-2022
Date of Decision: 31.10.2022**

SULKHAN SINGH

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr.Devender Arya , Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 89 dated 19.02.2022, under Sections 341, 392, 506 and 34 IPC (Sections 201, 379-A, 397, 398 and 411 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Pinjore, District Panchkula.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that it is a case where the petitioner was falsely implicated by the police. He further submitted that in the present FIR the allegations against the petitioner were that when the complainant was coming back from the college, then two persons had caught him and after showing knife snatched mobile phone from him and got transferred Rs. 1400/- through Google Pay in the name of the co-accused namely Kuldeep @ Soni who

was arrested. He further submitted that when the police was investigating the case, the father of the present petitioner had only disclosed address of the house of the aforesaid co-accused and because of that reason, the co-accused falsely gave disclosure statement against the petitioner. He further submitted that the petitioner is a pious person and is a Sevadar in the Gurudwara Sahib and has got nothing to do with the present case. He further submitted that even otherwise also while issuing notice of motion by this Court, this Court had granted interim bail subject to the joining of investigation and in pursuance thereof, he has already joined investigation and he has fully cooperated with the investigation process and he has prayed that the interim bail may be confirmed.

Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana on instructions from SI Ramniwas has stated that in pursuance of the orders passed by this Court on 26.04.2022, the petitioner has already joined investigation and he has fully cooperated with the investigation process and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The name of the petitioner was nominated on the basis of disclosure statement. As per the learned counsel for the parties, in pursuance of the orders passed by this Court on 26.04.2022 the petitioner has already joined investigation and as per the learned State counsel, he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the aforesaid position, this Court deems it fit and proper to allow the present petition.

Consequently, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 26.04.2022 is made absolute.

31.10.2022

rakesh

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No