

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

111

CRWP-3737-2022

Date of Decision:25.04.2022

Sitar Khan

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Gazi Mohd. Umair, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. A.K. Khurana, D.A.G., Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ , J.(Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent Nos.2 to 4 for seeking protection of his life and personal liberty from the private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner has solemnized the marriage with Baljinder Kaur. However, their marriage is not acceptable to the private respondents and as a result thereof, the private respondents are threatening the petitioner. A representation against the threat extended at the hands of the private respondents already stand submitted by the petitioner to the Senior Superintendent of Police

Patiala, District Patiala(Annexure P-1).

The petitioner apprehends threat to his life and claims that there is a constant danger of being implicated in a false case.

Notice of motion restricted to respondents No.1 to 4 only.

Pursuant to supply of advance copy, Ms. A.K. Khurana, DAG, Punjab has appeared and accepted notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4.

Learned State counsel has no objection if appropriate direction for providing requisite protection to the petitioners is given.

As such, the petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No.3- Senior Superintendent of Police Patiala, District Patiala to look into the grievances of the petitioner as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation dated 15.03.2022(Annexure P-1) and take appropriate action for protection of his life and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

However, it is clarified that in case any criminal case has been/is registered against the petitioners nothing in this order shall be construed as a bar for taking appropriate action by the police authorities in respect thereof in accordance with law.

The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above-said representation to respondent No.3- Senior Superintendent of Patiala, District Patiala for requisite compliance.

The petition is disposed of.

April 25, 2022
seema

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No