

Ravi Singh @ Ravi Singh Gill

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. **JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI**

Present : Mr. Param Preet Singh Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. N.S.Panwar, DAG, Haryana.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J (Oral)

The present is a second petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.182 dated 21.09.2021, under Section(s) 25/54/59 of the Arms Act, 1959 and Section 411 IPC was added lateron, registered at Police Station Sadar Faridkot, District Faridkot.

The earlier petition was filed by the petitioner vide CRM-M-51535-2021 which was withdrawn by him on 15.12.2021.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is in custody for about 9 ½ months and even charges have not been framed till date. He has further submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and is also involved in three more cases and in those cases also he was falsely implicated and is on bail in all those case. Learned counsel submitted that in view of the factual position, he may be considered for the grant of regular bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions, has stated that

during his personal search by the police officials, a country-made pistol of 32 bore was recovered from the left side *dab* of his light blue colour jeans pant. The pistol was opened for unloading and three rounds were recovered and the length of the pistol was about 8 fingers long. He submitted that as per the FIR, the following words were written on the pistol:-

“PLLAMA. GABLLONCOY CIA VITORIA (ESPANA) CAL 7.65. M/M (32)”.

Thereafter, one more pistol of 30 bore was also recovered from him on his disclosure statement under Section 27 of the Evidence Act. When on 23.09.2021, the petitioner was on police remand, he suffered a disclosure statement under Section 27 of the Evidence Act and when he was taken for the purpose of recovery then petitioner ran away from the police custody and consequently an FIR No.149 dated 23.09.2021 under Sections 223 & 224 IPC was registered at police Station Dakha City Jagraon against him. On the next day i.e. 24.09.2021, he was arrested in the area of Police Station Bajakhana and his police remand was obtained. He submitted that there were FIRs against the petitioner and the charges are yet to be framed and there is every apprehension considering the conduct of the petitioner that in case he is released on bail he may abscond and may influence the witnesses.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner is in custody from about 9 ½ months. Learned State counsel has raised serious objections with regard to the prayer made by the petitioner for grant of regular bail. He has submitted that earlier, when, in the present case, he was on police remand, he ran away from the police custody and on the next day he was arrested. The apprehension expressed by the learned State counsel on the basis of the conduct of the petitioner, cannot be ignored. Therefore, this Court is of the

view that the present petition is devoid of any merit and is hereby dismissed.

However, anything observed herein above shall have no effect on the merits of the case and is meant for deciding the present petition only.

08.07.2022
mamta

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)

JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No