

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 28.10.2022

CRM-M-28208-2017

ANIL KUMAR AND ORS

... PETITIONERS

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

.. RESPONDENTS

CRM-M-12969-2017

RAJ KUMAR VERMA

... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

.. RESPONDENTS

CRM-M-13502-2014

BABU RAM DINKAR & ORS

... PETITIONERS

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Nonish Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM-M-28208-2017.
None for the petitioner in CRM-M-12969-2017.
Mr. Nonish Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM-M-13502-2014.
Mr. Hittan Nehra, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.
Mr. Vineet Jakhar, Advocate, for
Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

The petitioners in CRM-M-28208-2017 and CRM-M-13502-2014 are seeking to quash the complaint titled as Manisha Verma Vs. Raj Kumar Verma and others and the petitioner in CRM-M-12969-2017 is seeking to quash the FIR No. 02 dated 07.01.2011 registered at Police Station Women Cell, District Jalandhar.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sukhdev Singh, submits that Raj Kumar Verma (petitioner), who has been arraigned as an accused in the aforesaid FIR, has been acquitted by the trial Court in terms of judgment dated 25.11.2021. Furthermore, the complaint in which the petitioners in CRM-M-28208-2017 and CRM-M-13502-2014 have been summoned, has been dismissed as withdrawn in terms of the judgment dated 02.04.2022 by the Court of learned CJM (NRI), Jalandhar. Consequently, all the three petitions have been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

28.10.2022

smriti

(VIVEK PURI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No