

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(113)

CWP No.8592 of 2022

Date of Decision : 05.05.2022

TCI Express Ltd.

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & ors.

.....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

Present:- Mr. Nayandeep Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Bahri, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Advocate,
for the respondent-HSVP Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

By this petition, filed under the provisions of Articles 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondent-HSVP to allot Plot No.163 (Auction ID 6994), in Sector 44, Gurugram-II, in its favour, it being eligible “under E-auction” which was to be held on 30.01.2022, but was cancelled without any intimation to the petitioner.

On 27.04.2022 the following order was passed by this Court:-

“Since the petitioner is stated to have applied to participate in the auction to be held on 30.04.2022, learned senior counsel, on instructions, submits that the petitioner would withdraw the petition but his request is that it be kept pending to determine the auction also takes place on 30.04.2022 or not.

Adjourned to 05.05.2022.”

Today, Mr. Chauhan learned counsel for the respondent-State, submits that the auction has taken place on 30.04.2022, with the petitioner and other persons having taken part.

That being so, there is no reason to continue with the petition which is consequently dismissed in view of the fact that as regards the earlier auction which was to take place but did not take place on 30.01.2022 because the petitioner was the sole bidder at that stage. We would thus see no reason to interfere with the cancellation of such an auction.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

05.05.2022
monika

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes*
Whether reportable? *Yes*