

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-18414-2021
DECIDED ON: 14.09.2022**

DEVENDER SINGH @ KALA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Vijay Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition seeking regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.0020, dated 12.01.2018 (Annexure P-1), under Sections 406 and 420 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Safidon, District Jind.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the instant FIR was lodged against the petitioner and other co-accused for allegedly committing an offence of cheating to the tune of Rs.10,60,000/- on the pretext of non-execution of registry of Sale Deed in favour of the complainant, regarding the property which he had been purchased in an open auction.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has produced the custody certificate of the petitioner-Devender Singh @ Kala son of Jai Bhagwan, in Court today, which is taken on record, according to which, the petitioner is already behind bars for the last 04 months and 19 days. He further asserts that the petitioner is also involved in four other FIRs, in which he has been convicted, details of which are as follows:-

(i) FIR No.194, dated 17.05.2013, under Section 68/1/14 of Excise Act, Police Station Safidon, District Jind.

(ii) FIR No.384, dated 12.09.2017, under Section 13A-3-67 of Gambling Act, Police Station Safidon, District Jind.

(iii) FIR No.555, dated 12.12.2017, under Section 13A-3-67 of Gambling Act, Police Station Safidon, District Jind.

(iv) FIR No.19, dated 10.01.2018, under Section 13A-3-67 of Gambling Act, Police Station Safidon, District Jind.

Learned State counsel has further pointed out that vide order dated 04.05.2022, the petitioner was granted interim bail by the Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Safidon, which was extended till 02.08.2022. Till date, the petitioner has not surrendered back to the jail authorities and he has, thus, jumped the concession of bail.

In the light of the above facts and circumstances, the petitioner is not found entitled to the concession of regular bail.

Dismissed.

14.09.2022

poonam negi

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No