

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.17658 of 2022
Date of Decision: 29.07.2022**

Shri Krishan and others

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Ravi Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Rajnikant Upadhyay, Advocate
for respondents No.3 to 13.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners would avail the appropriate, alternative and efficacious remedy, as may be permissible to them under law, to seek the redressal of their grievance as canvassed in the present petition and he further prays that this petition may be disposed of accordingly.

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondents No.3 to 13 have no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands disposed of accordingly.

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

July 29, 2022
Yag Dutt

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*

Whether Reportable: *No*