

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-PIL No. 75 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of decision:- 17.05.2022

Joginder Singh Bhogal

.....Petitioner

Versus

U.T. Administration, Chandigarh and another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE.**

Present:- Mr. Ranjan Lakhanpal, Advocate with
Mr. Simran Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Standing Counsel for U.T.-
respondent No.1.

Mr. Suman Jain, Advocate, for respondent No.2-M.C.
Chandigarh.

* * * *

RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE (oral)

Though this petition filed in the nature of Public Interest Litigation, the petitioner has prayed for removal of illegal encroachments i.e. furniture markets in Sectors 52 and 53, Chandigarh.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.1-Union Territory, Chandigarh has filed written statement in the Court which is taken on record. He submits that so called encroachers have filed several writ petitions i.e. CWP Nos. 10490-1992, 1290-1993, 1501-1994, 725 of 1995, 1184-1995, 2583-1995 and 6786-2000 before this Court, which are now pending before Division Bench-III and have now been fixed for final hearing for 23.05.2022. He further submits that till such petitions are not decided and rights of the encroachers are not crystallized, no action can be taken by the respondent-Union Territory as the said persons have obtained interim orders of status-quo in that petitions.

In view of the above, we do not find any reason to keep this petition pending further before this Court. The petition filed by way of Public Interest Litigation is accordingly disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh petition in case anything survives after decision of that petitions filed by so called encroachers.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

17.05.2022
ravinder

Whetherspeaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whetherreportable	Yes/No