

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-17072-2022

Date of Decision: 21.10.2022

Ashok @ Ashok Kumar and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA

Present: - Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Sukhdev Singh, Advocate for respondents No. 2 to 4.

ASHOK KUMAR VERMA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., for quashing FIR No. 188 dated 17.06.2021, registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 325 and 506 IPC at Police Station Gadpuri, Tehsil and District Palwal and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of affidavits/compromise dated 14.02.2022 and 21.03.2022 (Annexure P-1 to P-3, respectively) effected between the parties.

Pursuant to the order dated 26.04.2022, passed by this Court, the parties appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Palwal, to get their statements recorded. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Palwal, submitted her report along with statements of the parties vide letter No. 638 dated 21.07.2022 duly forwarded by learned District

and Sessions Judge, Palwal, vide letter No. 3796 dated 25.07.2022.

I have heard learned Counsel for the petitioners, learned State Counsel, learned counsel for respondents No. 2 to 4 and gone through the relevant record.

It is now well settled that the High Court has inherent power to quash the criminal proceedings in non-compoundable cases on the basis of settlement between the parties for securing the ends of justice or to prevent abuse of the process where the possibility of conviction is remote and bleak and continuation of the criminal case would put the accused to great oppression and prejudice and extreme injustice would be caused to him by not quashing the criminal case. Criminal cases having overwhelmingly and predominantly civil character particularly those arising out of commercial transaction or arising out of matrimonial relationship or family dispute can be quashed when the parties have resolved their entire dispute among themselves. However, such power cannot be exercised in those prosecutions which involve heinous and serious offences of mental depravity or offences like murder, rape dacoity, etc. which are not private in nature and have a serious impact on society. Similarly, prosecution for offences alleged to have been committed under special enactments like the Prevention of Corruption Act or the offences committed by public servant while working in that capacity cannot be quashed on the basis of compromise between the victim and the offender. For judicial precedents in this regard, reference may be made to ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and anr., 2012 (4) RAJ 549: Narinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab (Supreme Court) : 2014 (2)***

RCR (Criminal) 482, State of Madhya Pradesh Vs. Laxmi Narayan and others (Supreme Court) : 2019 (2) RCR (Criminal) 255 and Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others (Punjab and Haryana High Court) : 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052.

According to the report, learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Palwal, is satisfied that the parties have arrived at the compromise voluntarily, without force, coercion or undue influence and genuine.

Considering the report of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Palwal dated 21.07.2022 and the fact that the compromise will bring peace and harmony between the parties, aforesaid FIR No. 188 dated 17.06.2021 and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed, qua the petitioners only.

Disposed of, accordingly

October 21, 2022
rishu

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes/No**

Whether Reportable **Yes/No**