

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

149

COCP-786-2022

Date of Decision : 26.04.2022

Jaibir Singh

....Petitioner

V/S

Amit Jha and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present : Mr.Manoj Makkar, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr.Shivendra Swaroop, AAG Haryana

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (ORAL)

Complaining violation of directions issued by the Court in CWP-26694-2022, titled as “Jaibir Singh Vs. State of Haryana and others”, dated 23.12.2021, the petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. The petition was disposed of with the following directions:-

“This petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 24.8.2018 (Annexure-7), passed by respondent No.2, whereby one increment of the petitioner has been stopped with cumulative effect. Further prayer of the petitioner is for quashing the inquiry report dated 22.7.2014 (Annexure P-4) issued by inquiry officer-cum-District Development and Panchayat Officer, Rohtak. It is also prayed that the respondents be directed to release the full salary of the petitioner of suspension period.

At this stage, it is submitted that the petitioner has filed appeal against the impugned order and the petitioner would be satisfied if his appeal is decided by passing a speaking order and within a time frame.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. AG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents. Even counsel for the respondents is not averse to the expeditious disposal of the claim of the petitioner.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the appeal filed by the petitioner and take a conscious decision thereon by passing a speaking order; within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.

It is further ordered that if the petitioner is found entitled to any pecuniary benefits upon such a conscious decision; then the said pecuniary benefits shall also be released to the petitioner within a further period of 3 months; from the date of such conscious decision of the authorities.”

In reply, learned counsel for the respondent on instructions from Mr.Gurdeep Singh, Assistant, submits that the representation of the petitioner shall be decided within a period of one month, positively, from today.

In view thereof, no further order is required to be passed.

The petitioner shall be at liberty to move an application for revival if the order is not complied with.

Disposed of.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

26.04.2022

neenu

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No