

115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16907-2022

Date of decision : 25.04.2022

Mahruba

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. D.S. Matya, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

In the present petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., two prayers have been made. First prayer is for issuance of necessary directions to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to conduct fresh and fair investigation in FIR No.27 dated 05.02.2022 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 452 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Sanoli, District Panipat and second prayer is with regard to register an FIR/take appropriate action against the private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted as far as first prayer is concerned, the petitioner would not press the same and seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present petition qua first relief and if so advised, would file a petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for

quashing of the said FIR.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid qua first prayer.

With respect to the second prayer, faced with the dictum of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court of India in case titled **Sakiri Vasu Vs. State of U.P. and others**, reported as **2008(2) SCC 409** and in **M. Subramaniam and another Vs. S. Janaki and another, Criminal Appeal No.102 of 2011, decided on 20.03.2020**, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present petition with liberty to pursue alternative remedies in accordance with law.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid qua second prayer.

25.04.2022

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No