

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8903-2022

Date of Decision: 29.04.2022

Arjun Singh Yadav

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that rejection of the case of the petitioner by the respondents vide impugned order dated 08.12.2020 (Annexure P-7) is without considering the relevant facts, and therefore, at this stage, the petitioner intends to approach the higher authorities of the respondent-Department for redressal of his grievances, as raised in the present petition, hence the same may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further at this stage with the liberty aforesaid.

Ordered accordingly.

29.04.2022

Apurva

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/No