

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 231)

**CRM-M No. 14526 of 2019
Date of decision : 24.03.2022**

Vikram Bhargav

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : None for the petitioner.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Arun Dogra, Advocate for respondent No.2.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 351 dated 12.06.2016 registered under Sections 354(A) and 509 IPC at Police Station Sector-5, district Gurgaon on the strength of a written compromise dated 19.11.2018 (Annexure P-2).

On 29.03.2019, this Court had passed the following order :-

“Prayer in this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been made for quashing impugned FIR No. 351, dated 12.06.2016, under Sections 354 (A), 509 IPC, registered at Police Station Sector-5, Gurgaon, District Gurgaon (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 19.11.2018 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion for 29.05.2019.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Munish Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Let requisite number of copies of paper-book be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

Meanwhile, petitioner is directed to deposit Rs. 15,000/- as a pre-condition for recording the statements, out of which Rs.10,000/- shall be deposited with the Welfare Centre for Persons with Speech and Hearing Impairment, Chandan Nagar, Sector 15, Phase-2, Behind ITI, Gurugram, Bank Account No. 000434003000357, the Gurgaon Central Co-operative Bank Ltd or Bank Account No. 1245010000518, United Bank of India and Rs. 5,000/- with “Prabh Aasra”, Village Padiala, District S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali, Bank A/c No. 014894600000970, Yes Bank Branch, SCO No. 151- 152, Sector 9-C, Chandigarh. Thereafter, parties shall appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court, who, after having the receipt of amount of Rs.15,000/- as above duly verified by their counsel, shall record their statements and send a report to this Court as to whether the compromise is genuine, without any pressure or undue influence and also whether any accused was declared as proclaimed offender, till the next date of hearing.”

In pursuance to the afore quoted order a report dated 24.05.2019 has been received from the Judicial Magistrate First Class, Gurugram as per which the dispute between the parties has not been settled.

Such fact is also acknowledged by learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2.

In the light of the above the present petition merits dismissal and it is so ordered.

24.03.2022

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No