

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

272

CWP-8599-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision : 13.07.2022

Narain Dass Majoka

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Mohd. Arshad, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Jagbir Malik, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 5.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner while working as Junior Engineer was chargesheeted with regard to a complaint alleging that the tubewell connections were wrongfully released under the schemes. There is no allegation that the petitioner had favoured any individual or any loss was caused to the department. The petitioner had been reinstated in service by order dated 09.03.2022, however, this order was withdrawn the very next day without assigning any reasons. He also contends that another Junior Engineer, who was also chargesheeted along with the petitioner has been reinstated in service. He further submits that the petitioner has sent a representation dated 14.03.2022 (Annexure P-9) in this regard to the respondents and submits that the petition be disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the same in a time bound manner.

Mr. Jagbir Malik, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the respondents No.2 to 5.

Heard. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioner, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation dated 14.03.2022 (Annexure P-9) in accordance with law within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Pending application, if any, shall also stand disposed of accordingly.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

July 13, 2022
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No