

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8578-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 27.04.2022

Mayank (minor)

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Birinder Singh Khehar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr. Deepak Balyan, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

* * * *

RAVI SHANKER JHA, C.J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking admission in respondent No. 4 – school as provided under Rule 134-A of the Haryana School Education Rules, 2003 as amended vide notification dated 19.06.2013 (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that his parents have already moved the representations dated 22.12.2021 (Annexure P-6) and 15.01.2022 (Annexure P-7) before the competent authority i.e. District Elementary Education Officer, Faridabad; Director, Secondary Education Department, Panchkula; Deputy Commissioner, Faridabad; District Education Officer, Faridabad and Block Education Officer, Block Faridabad, District Faridabad raising their grievance as regards denying admission to their ward which are pending before them.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, submits that the petitioner's representations would be considered and decided by the respondent-authorities in accordance with law, and as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of two weeks, by passing a reasoned order, which shall be communicated to the petitioner.

In view of the aforesaid submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the statement of learned State counsel, which is taken on record, the writ petition stands disposed of in terms thereof.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

27.04.2022

Amodh Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No