

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(230)

CWP No. 8456 of 2019

Date of Decision : 14.03.2022

Subhash Chand Kaushal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Karanvir Singh Khehar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sehajbir Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though in the reply, wherein, averments have been made by the respondents with regard to extending the relief as being claimed by the petitioner in the present petition but he has not been able to seek instructions from the petitioner as to whether, the same have been extended to him or not.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that once the respondents have filed an affidavit that the benefits already stands extended to the petitioner, there is no question of disbelieving the respondents.

As the respondents have already mentioned that the relief claimed in the present petition has already been extended to the petitioner, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition. However, the petitioner is given liberty in case, he has any grievance which subsists even as of now, he can approach the respondents by filing an appropriate

representation raising the said grievance and in case, any such representation is filed by the petitioner, the respondents will pass appropriate order on the same within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of the same and in case, any claim of the petitioner is found genuine, the same be also released to him within a period of four weeks thereafter.

Petition stands disposed of in above terms.

March 14, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No