

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3710-2022

Date of decision : 24.08.2022

DEEPAK KUMAR AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. K.L. Saini, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, Asstt. A.G., Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in the present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 7 and further directions to respondent Nos.4 to 7 not to harass or interfere in the peaceful life of the petitioners.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in terms of the order dated 22.04.2022, an FDR could not be prepared in the absence of bank account. However, a copy of the premium receipt of the LIC of the petitioner No.2 has been filed in Court which is taken on record.

The learned State counsel submits that the statement of petitioner No.2 was recorded during the course of inquiry (Annexure R-2). Similarly, the statement of respondent No.4-Surender father of petitioner No.2 was recorded (Annexure R-3), in which he has stated

that the petitioners have never been given any beatings or threatened. Apparently, at this stage there is no apprehension of any danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners from the private respondents.

Be that as it may, the Superintendent of Police, Jind vide its letter (Annexure R-1) has already directed the Deputy Superintendent of Police, City Jind and the concerned Station House Officer, Jind to ensure the protection of the life and liberty of the petitioners in accordance with law.

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed by this Court.

Disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

24.08.2022
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No